## STABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

### SVANTE JANSON

ABSTRACT. We give some explicit calculations for stable distributions and convergence to them, mainly based on less explicit results in Feller [3]. The main purpose is to provide ourselves with easy reference to explicit formulas and examples. (There are probably no new results.)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We give some explicit calculations for stable distributions and convergence to them, mainly based on less explicit results in Feller [3]. The main purpose is to provide ourselves with easy reference to explicit formulas and examples, for example for use in [8]. (There are probably no new results.) These notes may be extended later with more examples.

# 2. Infinitely divisible distributions

We begin with the more general concept of infinitely divisible distributions.

**Definition 2.1.** The distribution of a random variable X is *infinitely divisible* if for each  $n \ge 1$  there exists i.i.d. random variable  $Y_1^{(n)}, \ldots, Y_n^{(n)}$  such that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} Y_1^{(n)} + \dots Y_n^{(n)}.$$
 (2.1)

The characteristic function of an infinitely divisible distribution may be expressed in a canonical form, sometimes called the  $L\acute{e}vy$ -Khinchin representation. We give several equivalent versions in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let h(x) be a fixed bounded measurable real-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(x) = x + O(x^2)$  as  $x \to 0$ . Then the following are equivalent.

(i)  $\varphi(t)$  is the characteristic function of an infinitely divisible distribution.

(ii) There exist a measure M on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 \wedge |x|^{-2}) \, \mathrm{d}M(x) < \infty \tag{2.2}$$

and a real constant b such that

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\Bigl(\mathrm{i}bt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}tx} - 1 - \mathrm{i}th(x)}{x^2} \,\mathrm{d}M(x)\Bigr),\tag{2.3}$$

Date: 1 December, 2011; revised 20 December, 2011.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 60E07.

where the integrand is interpreted as  $-t^2/2$  at x = 0. (iii) There exist a measure  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( |x|^2 \wedge 1 \right) \mathrm{d}\Lambda(x) < \infty \tag{2.4}$$

and real constants  $a \ge 0$  and b such that

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(\mathrm{i}bt - \frac{1}{2}at^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{\mathrm{i}tx} - 1 - \mathrm{i}th(x)\right) \mathrm{d}\Lambda(x)\right).$$
(2.5)

(iv) There exist a bounded measure K on  $\mathbb{R}$  and a real constant b such that

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\Big(\mathrm{i}bt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(e^{\mathrm{i}tx} - 1 - \frac{\mathrm{i}tx}{1 + x^2}\Big) \frac{1 + x^2}{x^2} \,\mathrm{d}K(x)\Big), \tag{2.6}$$

where the integrand is interpreted as  $-t^2/2$  at x = 0.

The measures and constants are determined uniquely by  $\varphi$ .

Feller [3, Chapter XVII] uses  $h(x) = \sin x$ . Kallenberg [9, Corollary 15.8] uses  $h(x) = x\mathbf{1}\{|x| \leq 1\}$ .

Feller [3, Chapter XVII.2] calls the measure M in (ii) the *canonical measure*. The measure  $\Lambda$  in (iii) is known as the *Lévy measure*. The parameters a, b and  $\Lambda$  are together called the *characteristics* of the distribution. We denote the distribution with characteristic function (2.5) (for a given h) by  $ID(a, b, \Lambda)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Different choices of h(x) yield the same measures M and  $\Lambda$  in (ii) and (iii) but different constants b; changing h to  $\tilde{h}$  corresponds to changing b to

$$\tilde{b} := b + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}(x) - h(x)}{x^2} \, \mathrm{d}M(x) = b + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\tilde{h}(x) - h(x)) \, \mathrm{d}\Lambda(x).$$
(2.7)

We see also that b is the same in (ii) and (iii) (with the same h), and that (see the proof below) b in (iv) equals b in (ii) and (iii) when  $x = x/(1+x^2)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\iff$  (ii): This is shown in Feller [3, Theorem XVII.2.1] for the choice  $h(x) = \sin x$ . As remarked above, (2.3) for some h is equivalent to (2.3) for any other h, changing b by (2.7).

(ii)  $\iff$  (iii): Given M in (ii) we let  $a := M\{0\}$  and  $d\Lambda(x) := x^{-2} dM(x)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Conversely, given a and  $\Lambda$  as in (iii) we define

$$dM(x) = a\delta_0 + x^2 d\Lambda(x).$$
(2.8)

The equivalence between (2.3) and (2.5) then is obvious.

(ii)  $\iff$  (iv): Choose  $h(x) = x/(1+x^2)$  and define

$$dK(x) := \frac{1}{1+x^2} dM(x);$$
(2.9)

conversely,  $dM(x) = (1 + x^2) dK(x)$ . Then (2.3) is equivalent to (2.6).

**Remark 2.4.** At least (iii) extends directly to infinitely divisible random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover, there is a one-to-one correspondence with *Lévy* processes, i.e., stochastic processes  $X_t$  on  $[0, \infty)$  with stationary independent increments and  $X_0 = 0$ , given by (in the one-dimensional case)

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\mathrm{i}uX_t} = \varphi(u)^t = \exp\left(t\left(\mathrm{i}bu - \frac{1}{2}au^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{\mathrm{i}ux} - 1 - \mathrm{i}uh(x)\right) \mathrm{d}\Lambda(x)\right)\right)$$
(2.10)

for  $t \ge 0$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . See Bertoin [1] and Kallenberg [9, Corollary 15.8].

**Example 2.5.** The normal distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  has  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $a = \sigma^2$ ; thus  $M = K = \sigma^2 \delta_0$ ; further,  $b = \mu$  for any h. Thus,  $N(\mu, \sigma^2) = \text{ID}(\sigma^2, \mu, 0)$ . **Example 2.6.** The Poisson distribution Po( $\lambda$ ) has  $M = \Lambda = \lambda \delta_1$  and  $K = \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta_1$ ; further  $b = \lambda h(1)$ . (Thus  $b = \lambda/2$  in (iv).)

**Example 2.7.** The Gamma distribution  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha)$  with density function  $x^{\alpha-1}e^{-x}/\Gamma(\alpha), x > 0$ , has the characteristic function  $\varphi(t) = (1 - it)^{-\alpha}$ . It is infinitely divisible with

$$dM(x) = \alpha x e^{-x}, \qquad x > 0, \tag{2.11}$$

$$d\Lambda(x) = \alpha x^{-1} e^{-x}, \qquad x > 0,$$
 (2.12)

see Feller [3, Example XVII.3.d].

**Remark 2.8.** If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent infinitely divisible random variables with parameters  $(a_1, b_1, \Lambda_1)$  and  $(a_2, b_2, \Lambda_2)$ , then  $X_1 + X_2$  is infinitely divisible with parameters  $(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)$ . In particular, if  $X \sim ID(a, b, \Lambda)$ , then

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} X_1 + Y$$
 with  $X_1 \sim ID(0, 0, \Lambda), \ Y \sim ID(a, b, 0) = N(b, a),$ 
(2.13)

and  $X_1$  and Y independent. Moreover, for any finite partition  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup A_i$ , we can split X as a sum of independent infinitely divisible random variables  $X_i$  with the Lévy measure of  $X_i$  having supports in  $A_i$ .

**Example 2.9** (integral of Poisson process). Let  $\Xi$  be a Poisson process on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  with intensity  $\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a measure with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|x| \wedge 1) \,\mathrm{d}\Lambda(x) < \infty. \tag{2.14}$$

Let  $X := \int x \, d\Xi(x)$ ; if we regard  $\Xi$  as a (finite or countable) set (or possibly multiset) of points  $\{\xi_i\}$ , this means that  $X := \sum_i \xi_i$ . (The sum converges absolutely a.s., so X is well-defined a.s.; in fact, the sum  $\sum_{|\xi_i|>1} \xi_i$  is a.s. finite, and the sum  $\sum_{|\xi_i|\leqslant 1} |\xi_i|$  has finite expectation  $\int_{-1}^1 |x| \, d\Lambda(x)$ .) Then X has characteristic function

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{\mathrm{i}tx} - 1\right) \mathrm{d}\Lambda(x)\right).$$
(2.15)

(See, for example, the corresponding formula for the Laplace transform in Kallenberg [9, Lemma 12.2], from which (2.15) easily follows.) Hence, (2.5)

#### SVANTE JANSON

holds with Lévy measure  $\Lambda$ , a = 0 and  $b = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) d\Lambda(x)$ . (When (2.5) holds, we can take h(x) = 0, a choice not allowed in general. Note that (2.15) is the same as (2.5) with h = 0, a = 0 and b = 0.)

By adding an independent normal variable N(b, a), we can obtain any infinitely divisible distribution with a Lévy measure satisfying (2.5); see Example 2.5 and Remark 2.8.

**Example 2.10** (compensated integral of Poisson process). Let  $\Xi$  be a Poisson process on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  with intensity  $\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a measure with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( |x|^2 \wedge |x| \right) \mathrm{d}\Lambda(x) < \infty.$$
(2.16)

Suppose first that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| d\Lambda(x) < \infty$ . Let X be as in Example 2.9. Then X has finite expectation  $\mathbb{E} X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d\Lambda$ . Define

$$\widetilde{X} := X - \mathbb{E} X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \big( d\Xi(x) - d\Lambda(x) \big).$$
(2.17)

Then, by (2.15),  $\tilde{X}$  has characteristic function

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{itx} - 1 - itx\right) d\Lambda(x)\right).$$
(2.18)

Now suppose that  $\Lambda$  is any measure satisfying (2.16). Then the integral in (2.18) converges; moreover, by considering the truncated measures  $\Lambda_n :=$  $\mathbf{1}\{|x| > n^{-1}\}\Lambda$  and taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that there exists a random variable  $\widetilde{X}$  with characteristic function (2.18). Hence, (2.5) holds with Lévy measure  $\Lambda$ , a = 0 and  $b = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (h(x) - x) d\Lambda(x)$ . (When (2.16) holds, we can take h(x) = x, a choice not allowed in general. Note that (2.18) is the same as (2.5) with h(x) = x, a = 0 and b = 0.)

By adding an independent normal variable N(b, a), we can obtain any infinitely divisible distribution with a Lévy measure satisfying (2.16); see Example 2.5 and Remark 2.8.

**Remark 2.11.** Any infinitely divisible distribution can be obtained by taking a sum  $X_1 + X_2 + Y$  of independent random variables with  $X_1$  as in Example 2.9,  $X_2$  as in Example 2.10 and Y normal. For example, we can take the Lévy measures of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  as the restrictions of the Lévy measure to  $\{x : |x| > 1\}$  and  $\{x : |x| \le 1\}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 2.12.** If X is an infinitely divisible random variable with characteristic function given by (2.5) and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} e^{tX} = \exp\left(bt + \frac{1}{2}at^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{tx} - 1 - th(x)\right) d\Lambda(x)\right) \le \infty.$$
 (2.19)

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E} e^{tX} < \infty \iff \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( e^{tx} - 1 - th(x) \right) d\Lambda(x) < \infty$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{tx} d\Lambda(x) < \infty, & t > 0, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{-1} e^{tx} d\Lambda(x) < \infty, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.20)

*Proof.* The choice of h (satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.2) does not matter, because of (2.7); we may thus assume  $h(x) = x\mathbf{1}\{|x| \leq 1\}$ . We further assume t > 0. (The case t < 0 is similar and the case t = 0 is trivial.)

Denote the right-hand side of (2.19) by  $F_{\Lambda}(t)$ . We study several different cases.

(i). If supp  $\Lambda$  is bounded, then the integral in (2.19) converges for all complex t and defines an entire function. Thus  $F_{\Lambda}(t)$  is entire and (2.5) shows that  $\mathbb{E} e^{itX} = F_{\Lambda}(it)$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{E} |e^{tX|} < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E} e^{tX} = F_{\Lambda}(t)$  for any complex t, see e.g. Marcinkiewicz [10].

(ii). If  $\operatorname{supp} \Lambda \subseteq [1, \infty)$ , let  $\Lambda_n$  be the restriction  $\Lambda|_{[1,n]}$  of the measure  $\Lambda$  to [1, n]. By the construction in Example 2.9, we can construct random variables  $X_n \sim \operatorname{ID}(0, 0, \Lambda_n)$  such that  $X_n \nearrow X \sim \operatorname{ID}(0, 0, \Lambda)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Case (i) applies to each  $\Lambda_n$ , and (2.19) follows for X, and t > 0, by monotone convergence.

(iii). If supp  $\Lambda \subseteq (-\infty, 1]$ , let  $\Lambda_n$  be the restriction  $\Lambda|_{[-n,-1]}$ . Similarly to (ii) we can construct random variables  $X_n \sim \mathrm{ID}(0, 0, \Lambda_n)$  with  $X_n \leq 0$ such that  $X_n \searrow X \sim \mathrm{ID}(0, 0, \Lambda)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Case (i) applies to each  $\Lambda_n$ , and (2.19) follows for X; this time by monotone convergence.

(iv). The general case follows by (i)–(iii) and a decomposition as in Remark 2.8.  $\hfill \Box$ 

# 3. STABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

**Definition 3.1.** The distribution of a (non-degenerate) random variable X is *stable* if there exist constants  $a_n > 0$  and  $b_n$  such that, for any  $n \ge 1$ , if  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d. copies of X and  $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , then

$$S_n \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} a_n X + b_n. \tag{3.1}$$

The distribution is strictly stable if  $b_n = 0$ .

(Many authors, e.g. Kallenberg [9], say *weakly stable* for our stable.)

We say that the random variable X is (strictly) stable if its distribution is.

The norming constants  $a_n$  in (3.1) are necessarily of the form  $a_n = n^{1/\alpha}$ for some  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ , see Feller [3, Theorem VI.1.1];  $\alpha$  is called the *index* [4], [9] or *characteristic exponent* [3] of the distribution. We also say that a distribution (or random variable) is  $\alpha$ -stable if it is stable with index  $\alpha$ .

### SVANTE JANSON

The case  $\alpha = 2$  is simple: X is 2-stable if and only if it is normal. For  $\alpha < 2$ , there is a simple characterisation in terms of the Lévy–Khinchin representation of infinitely divisible distributions.

- **Theorem 3.2.** (i) A distribution is 2-stable if and only if it is normal  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . (This is an infinitely divisible distribution with  $M = \sigma^2 \delta_0$ , see Example 2.5.)
  - (ii) Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . A distribution is  $\alpha$ -stable if and only if it is infinitely divisible with canonical measure

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \begin{cases} c_+ x^{1-\alpha}, & x > 0, \\ c_- |x|^{1-\alpha}, & x < 0; \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

equivalently, the Lévy measure is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Lambda(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \begin{cases} c_+ x^{-\alpha - 1}, & x > 0, \\ c_- |x|^{-\alpha - 1}, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

and a = 0. Here  $c_{-}, c_{+} \ge 0$  and we assume that not both are 0.

*Proof.* See Feller [3, Section XVII.5] or Kallenberg [9, Proposition 15.9].  $\Box$ 

Note that (3.2) is equivalent to

$$M[x_1, x_2] = C_+ x_2^{2-\alpha} + C_- |x_1|^{2-\alpha}$$
(3.4)

for any interval with  $x_1 \leq 0 \leq x_2$ , with

$$C_{\pm} = \frac{c_{\pm}}{2 - \alpha}.\tag{3.5}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ .

 (i) A distribution is α-stable if and only if it has a characteristic function

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\gamma^{\alpha}|t|^{\alpha}\left(1 - \mathrm{i}\beta\tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t)\right) + \mathrm{i}\delta t\right), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \exp\left(-\gamma|t|\left(1 + \mathrm{i}\beta\frac{2}{\pi}\mathrm{sgn}(t)\log|t|\right) + \mathrm{i}\delta t\right), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

where  $-1 \leq \beta \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and  $-\infty < \delta < \infty$ . Furthermore, an  $\alpha$ -stable distribution exists for any such  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ . (If  $\alpha = 2$ , then  $\beta$  is irrelevant and usually taken as 0.)

(ii) If X has the characteristic function (3.6), then, for any  $n \ge 1$ , (3.1) takes the explicit form

$$S_n \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \begin{cases} n^{1/\alpha} X + (n - n^{1/\alpha})\delta, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ nX + \frac{2}{\pi}\beta\gamma n\log n, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

In particular,

X is strictly stable 
$$\iff \begin{cases} \delta = 0, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \beta = 0, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
 (3.8)

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

(iii) An  $\alpha$ -stable distribution with canonical measure M satisfying (3.4) has

$$\gamma^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (C_{+} + C_{-}) \frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \cos \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ (C_{+} + C_{-}) \frac{\pi}{2}, & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

$$\beta = \frac{C_+ - C_-}{C_+ + C_-}.\tag{3.10}$$

(iv) If  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , then an  $\alpha$ -stable distribution with Lévy measure  $\Lambda$  satisfying (3.3) has

$$\gamma^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (c_{+} + c_{-}) \left( -\Gamma(-\alpha) \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ (c_{+} + c_{-}) \frac{\pi}{2}, & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

$$\beta = \frac{c_+ - c_-}{c_+ + c_-}.\tag{3.12}$$

We use the notation  $S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$  for the distribution with characteristic function (3.6).

*Proof.* Feller [3, XVII.(3.18)-(3.19) and Theorem XVII.5.1(ii)] gives, in our notation, for a stable distribution satisfying (3.4), the characteristic function

$$\exp\left(-(C_+ + C_-)\frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\left(\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} - \mathrm{i}\operatorname{sgn}(t)\frac{C_+ - C_-}{C_+ + C_-}\sin\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)|t|^{\alpha} + \mathrm{i}bt\right)$$

if  $\alpha \neq 1$  and

$$\exp\left(-(C_{+}+C_{-})\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)\frac{C_{+}-C_{-}}{C_{+}+C_{-}}\log|t|\right)|t|+\mathrm{i}bt\right)$$

if  $\alpha = 1$ . This is (3.6) with (3.9)–(3.10) and  $\delta = b$ . This proves (i) and (iii), and (iv) follows from (iii) by (3.5).

Finally, (ii) follows directly from (3.6).

**Remark 3.4.** If  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ , then  $\delta$  in (3.6) equals the mean  $\mathbb{E}X$ . In particular, for  $\alpha > 1$ , a stable distribution is strictly stable if and only if its expectation vanishes.

**Remark 3.5.** If  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(1, \beta, 0)$ , then, for  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\gamma X + \delta \sim \begin{cases} S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta - \frac{2}{\pi}\beta\gamma\log\gamma), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

Thus,  $\gamma$  is a scale parameter and  $\delta$  a location parameter;  $\beta$  is a skewness parameter, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  together determine the shape of the distribution.

**Remark 3.6.** More generally, if  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$ , then, for a > 0 and  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$aX + d \sim \begin{cases} S_{\alpha}(a\gamma, \beta, a\delta + d), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ S_{\alpha}(a\gamma, \beta, a\delta + d - \frac{2}{\pi}\beta\gamma a\log a), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

**Remark 3.7.** If  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$ , then  $-X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, -\beta, -\delta)$ . In particular, X has a symmetric stable distribution if and only if  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, 0, 0)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

We may simplify expressions like (3.6) by considering only  $t \ge 0$  (or t > 0; this is sufficient because of the general formula

$$\varphi(-t) = \varphi(t) \tag{3.15}$$

for any characteristic function. We use this in our next statement, which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . A distribution is strictly stable if and only if it has a characteristic function

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(-(\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha}\right), \qquad t \ge 0, \tag{3.16}$$

where  $\kappa > 0$  and  $|\lambda| \leq \kappa \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}$ ; furthermore, a strictly stable distribution exists for any such  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ . (For  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} = \infty$ , so any real  $\lambda$  is possible. For  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} = 0$ , so necessarily  $\lambda = 0$ .) The distribution  $S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, 0)$  ( $\alpha \neq 1$ ) or  $S_1(\gamma, 0, \delta)$  ( $\alpha = 1$ ) satisfies (3.16)

with

$$\kappa = \gamma^{\alpha} \qquad and \qquad \lambda = \begin{cases} \beta \kappa \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \delta, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.17)

Conversely, if (3.16) holds, then the distribution is

$$\begin{cases} S_{\alpha}(\gamma,\beta,0) \text{ with } \gamma = \kappa^{1/\alpha}, \ \beta = \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} \cot \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \quad \alpha \neq 1\\ S_{1}(\kappa,0,\lambda), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.18)

**Remark 3.9.** For a strictly stable random variable, another way to write the characteristic function (3.6) or (3.16) is

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(-ae^{i\operatorname{sgn}(t)\pi\tilde{\gamma}/2}|t|^{\alpha}\right),\tag{3.19}$$

with a > 0 and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  real. A comparison with (3.6) and (3.17) shows that

$$a\cos\frac{\pi\widetilde{\gamma}}{2} = \kappa = \gamma^{\alpha},\tag{3.20}$$

$$\tan \frac{\pi \widetilde{\gamma}}{2} = -\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} = \begin{cases} -\beta \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ -\frac{\delta}{\gamma^{\alpha}}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.21)

If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have  $0 < \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} < \infty$  and  $|\tilde{\gamma}| \leq \alpha$ , while if  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , then  $\tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} < 0$  and  $\tan \frac{\pi \tilde{\gamma}}{2} = \beta \tan \frac{\pi (2-\alpha)}{2}$  with  $0 < \pi (2-\alpha)/2 < \pi/2$ ; hence  $|\tilde{\gamma}| \leq 2-\alpha$ . Finally, for  $\alpha = 1$ , we have  $|\tilde{\gamma}| < 1$ . (These ranges for  $\tilde{\gamma}$  are both necessary and sufficient. For  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} = \pm 1$  is possible in (3.19), but yields a degenerate distribution  $X = -\tilde{\gamma}a$ .)

For  $\alpha \neq 1$ , note the special cases  $\beta = 0 \iff \tilde{\gamma} = 0$  and

$$\beta = 1 \iff \widetilde{\gamma} = \begin{cases} -\alpha, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ 2 - \alpha, & 1 < \alpha < 2. \end{cases}$$
(3.22)

**Remark 3.10.** For  $\alpha = 1$ , the general 1-stable characteristic function (3.6) may be written, similarly to (3.16),

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(-(\kappa - i\lambda)t - ibt\log t\right), \qquad t > 0, \tag{3.23}$$

where  $\kappa = \gamma$ ,  $\lambda = \delta$  and  $b = \frac{2}{\pi}\beta\gamma$ . (Thus,  $|b| \leq 2\kappa/\pi$ .)

**Definition 3.11.** A stable distribution is *spectrally positive* if its Lévy measure is concentrated on  $(0, \infty)$ , i.e.,

$$d\Lambda(x) = cx^{-\alpha - 1} dx, \qquad x > 0, \tag{3.24}$$

for some c > 0 and  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ . By (3.3) and (3.12), this is equivalent to  $c_{-} = 0$  and to  $\beta = 1$ , see also (3.22). A strictly stable distribution with characteristic function (3.16) is spectrally positive if and only if  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $\lambda = \kappa \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . An  $\alpha$ -stable random variable  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$  has finite Laplace transform  $\mathbb{E} e^{-tX}$  for  $t \ge 0$  if and only if it is spectrally positive, i.e., if  $\beta = 1$ , and then

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-tX} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^{\alpha}}{\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}}t^{\alpha} - \delta t\right), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \exp\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\gamma t\log t - \delta t\right), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.25)

Moreover, then (3.25) holds for every complex t with  $\operatorname{Re} t \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* The condition for finiteness follows by Theorem 2.12 and (3.3), together with Definition 3.11. When this holds, the right-hand side of (3.25) is a continuous function of t in the closed right half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} t \ge 0$ , which is analytic in the open half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} t > 0$ . The same is true for the left-hand side by Theorem 2.12, and the two functions are equal on the imaginary axis  $t = is, s \in \mathbb{R}$  by (3.6) and a simple calculation. By uniqueness of analytic continuation, (3.25) holds for every complex t with  $\operatorname{Re} t \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** An stable random variable  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$  is positive, i.e. X > 0 a.s., if and only if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta = 1$  and  $\delta \ge 0$ . Equivalently, X > 0 a.s. if and only if  $X = Y + \delta$  where Y is spectrally positive strictly  $\alpha$ -stable with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\delta \ge 0$ .

Proof. X > 0 a.s. if and only if the Laplace transform  $\mathbb{E} e^{-tX}$  is finite for all  $t \ge 0$  and  $\mathbb{E} e^{-tX} \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Suppose that this holds. We cannot have  $\alpha = 2$ , since then X would be normal and therefore not positive; thus Theorem 3.12 applies and shows that  $\beta = 1$ . Moreover, (3.25) holds. If  $1 < \alpha < 2$  or  $\alpha = 1$ , then the right-hand side of (3.25) tends to infinity as  $t \to \infty$ , which is a contradiction; hence  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and then (3.25) again shows that  $\delta \ge 0$ .

The converse is immediate from (3.25).

**Example 3.14.** If  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and d > 0, then  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, 1, 0)$  with  $\gamma := \left(d \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right)^{1/\alpha}$  is a positive strictly stable random variable with the Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-tX} = \exp(-dt^{\alpha}). \tag{3.26}$$

**Example 3.15.** If  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and d > 0, then  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, 1, 0)$  with  $\gamma := (d | \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} |)^{1/\alpha}$  is a strictly stable random variable with the Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-tX} = \exp(dt^{\alpha}). \tag{3.27}$$

Note that in this case  $\cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} < 0$ . Note also that  $\mathbb{E} e^{-tX} \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ , which shows that  $\mathbb{P}(X < 0) > 0$ .

3.1. Other parametrisations. Our notation  $S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$  is in accordance with e.g. Samorodnitsky and Taqqu [12, Definition 1.1.6 and page 9]. (Although they use the letters  $S_{\alpha}(\sigma, \beta, \mu)$ .) Nolan [11] uses the notation  $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; 1)$ ; he also defines  $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_0; 0) := S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_1; 1)$  where

$$\delta_1 := \begin{cases} \delta_0 - \beta \gamma \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \delta_0 - \frac{2}{\pi} \beta \gamma \log \gamma, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.28)

(Note that our  $\delta = \delta_1$ .) This parametrisation has the advantage that the distribution  $S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_0; 0)$  is a continuous function of all four parameters. Note also that  $S(\alpha, 0, \gamma, \delta; 0) = S(\alpha, 0, \gamma, \delta; 1)$ , and that when  $\gamma = 0$ , (3.13) becomes  $\gamma X + \delta \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta; 0)$ . Cf. the related parametrisation in [12, Remark 1.1.4], which uses

$$\mu_1 = \begin{cases} \delta_1 + \beta \gamma^{\alpha} \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} = \delta_0 + \beta (\gamma^{\alpha} - \gamma) \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \delta_1 &= \delta_0 - \frac{2}{\pi} \beta \gamma \log \gamma, & \alpha = 1; \end{cases}$$
(3.29)

again the distribution is a continuous function of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu_1)$ .

Zolotarev [13] uses three different parametrisations, with parameters denoted  $(\alpha, \beta_x, \gamma_x, \lambda_x)$ , where  $x \in \{A, B, M\}$ ; these are defined by writing the characteristic function (3.6) as

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(\lambda_A \left( it\gamma_A - |t|^\alpha + it\omega_A(t,\alpha,\beta_A) \right) \right)$$
(3.30)

$$= \exp\left(\lambda_M \left( it\gamma_M - |t|^{\alpha} + it\omega_M(t,\alpha,\beta_M) \right) \right)$$
(3.31)

$$= \exp(\lambda_B (it\gamma_B - |t|^{\alpha} \omega_B(t, \alpha, \beta_B))), \qquad (3.32)$$

where

$$\omega_A(t,\alpha,\beta) := \begin{cases} |t|^{\alpha-1}\beta \tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ -\beta\frac{2}{\pi}\log|t|, & \alpha = 1; \end{cases}$$
(3.33)

$$\omega_M(t,\alpha,\beta) := \begin{cases} (|t|^{\alpha-1} - 1)\beta \tan \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ -\beta \frac{2}{\pi} \log |t|, & \alpha = 1; \end{cases}$$
(3.34)

$$\omega_B(t,\alpha,\beta) := \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{2}\beta K(\alpha)\operatorname{sgn} t\right), & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \frac{\pi}{2} + \mathrm{i}\beta \log|t|\operatorname{sgn} t, & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.35)

with  $K(\alpha) := \alpha - 1 + \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \alpha)$ , i.e.,

$$K(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \alpha, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \alpha - 2, & 1 < \alpha < 2. \end{cases}$$
(3.36)

Here  $\alpha$  is the same in all parametrisations and, with  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$  is as in (3.6),

$$\beta_A = \beta_M = \beta, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\gamma_A = \delta/\gamma^{\alpha},\tag{3.38}$$

$$\gamma_M = \mu_1 / \gamma^\alpha = \begin{cases} \gamma_A + \beta \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \gamma_A, & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.39)

$$\lambda_A = \lambda_M = \gamma^{\alpha}, \tag{3.40}$$

and, for  $\alpha \neq 1$ ,

$$\tan\left(\beta_B \frac{\pi K(\alpha)}{2}\right) = \beta_A \tan\frac{\pi \alpha}{2} = \beta \tan\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, \qquad (3.41)$$

$$\gamma_B = \gamma_A \cos\left(\beta_B \frac{\pi K(\alpha)}{2}\right),\tag{3.42}$$

$$\lambda_B = \lambda_A / \cos\left(\beta_B \frac{\pi K(\alpha)}{2}\right), \qquad (3.43)$$

while for  $\alpha = 1$ ,

$$\beta_B = \beta_A = \beta, \tag{3.44}$$

$$\gamma_B = \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma_A = \frac{\pi \delta}{2\gamma},\tag{3.45}$$

$$\lambda_B = \frac{2}{\pi} \lambda_A = \frac{2\gamma}{\pi}.$$
(3.46)

Note that, for any  $\alpha$ .  $\beta_B = 0 \iff \beta = 0$  and  $\beta_B = \pm 1 \iff \beta = \pm 1$ , and that the mapping  $\beta = \beta_A \mapsto \beta_B$  is an increasing homeomorphism of [-1, 1] onto itself.

In the strictly stable case, Zolotarev [13] also uses

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left(-\lambda_C e^{-i\operatorname{sgn}(t)\pi\alpha\theta/2}|t|^{\alpha}\right),\tag{3.47}$$

which is the same as (3.19) with

$$\lambda_C = a \tag{3.48}$$

$$\theta = -\widetilde{\gamma}/\alpha; \tag{3.49}$$

thus  $|\theta| \leq 1$  for  $\alpha \leq 1$  and  $|\theta| \leq 2/\alpha - 1$  for  $\alpha > 1$ . We also have

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \beta_B \frac{K(\alpha)}{\alpha}, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(2\gamma_B/\pi), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.50)

$$\lambda_C = \begin{cases} \lambda_B, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \lambda_B \left( \pi^2/4 + \gamma_B^2 \right)^{1/2}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.51)

#### SVANTE JANSON

He also uses the parameters  $\alpha, \rho, \lambda_C$  where  $\rho := (1 + \theta)/2$ .

Zolotarev [13] uses  $Y(\alpha, \beta_x, \gamma_x, \lambda_x)$  as a notation for a random variable with the characteristic function (3.30)–(3.32). The distribution is a continuous function of the parameters  $(\alpha, \beta_B, \gamma_B, \lambda_B)$ .

## 4. Stable densities

A stable distribution has by (3.6) a characteristic function that decreases rapidly as  $t \to \pm \infty$ , and thus the distribution has a density that is infinitely differentiable.

In the case  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\beta = 1$ ,  $S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$  has support  $[\delta, \infty)$  and in the case  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\beta = -1$ ,  $S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$  has support  $(-\infty, \delta]$ ; in all other cases the support is the entire real line. Moreover, the density function is strictly positive in the interior of the support, se Zolotarev [13, Remark 2.2.4].

Feller [3, Section XVII.6] lets, for  $\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $p(x; \alpha, \tilde{\gamma})$  denote the density of the stable distribution with characteristic function (3.19) with a = 1. A stable random variable with the characteristic function (3.19) thus has the density function  $a^{-1/\alpha}p(a^{-1/\alpha}x; \alpha, \tilde{\gamma})$ . The density of a random variable  $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$  with  $\alpha \neq 1$  is thus given by

$$a^{-1/\alpha} p(a^{-1/\alpha}(x-\delta);\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}), \qquad (4.1)$$

with a and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  given by (3.20)–(3.21).

Feller [3, Lemma XVII.6.1] gives the following series expansions.

**Theorem 4.1.** (i) If x > 0 and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$p(x;\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{\pi x} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha+1)}{k!} (-x^{-\alpha})^k \sin\frac{k\pi}{2} (\widetilde{\gamma}-\alpha).$$
(4.2)

(ii) If x > 0 and  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , then

$$p(x;\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{\pi x} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1+k/\alpha)}{k!} (-x)^k \sin \frac{k\pi}{2\alpha} (\widetilde{\gamma} - \alpha).$$
(4.3)

For x < 0 we use  $p(-x; \alpha, \widetilde{\gamma}) = p(x; \alpha, -\widetilde{\gamma})$ .

In particular, if  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , then

$$p(0;\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma(1+1/\alpha) \sin \frac{\pi(\alpha-\widetilde{\gamma})}{2\alpha}.$$
(4.4)

In the special case  $\beta = 1$  we have  $\tilde{\gamma} = 2 - \alpha$  by (3.22) and

$$p(0;\alpha,2-\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma(1+1/\alpha)\sin\frac{\pi(\alpha-1)}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma(1+1/\alpha)\sin\frac{\pi}{\alpha}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(1+1/\alpha)}{\Gamma(1/\alpha)\Gamma(1-1/\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\alpha\Gamma(1-1/\alpha)} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-1/\alpha)|}.$$
 (4.5)

For  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , the distribution  $S_{\alpha}(\gamma, 1, 0)$  thus has, by (4.1) and (3.20), the density at x = 0

$$a^{-1/\alpha}p(0;\alpha,2-\alpha) = \frac{a}{|\Gamma(-1/\alpha)|} = \gamma^{-1} |\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}|^{1/\alpha}|\Gamma(-1/\alpha)|^{-1}.$$
 (4.6)

### 5. Domains of attraction

**Definition 5.1.** A random variable X belongs to the *domain of attraction* of a stable distribution  $\mathcal{L}$  if there exist constants  $a_n > 0$  and  $b_n$  such that

$$\frac{S_n - b_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{L}$$
(5.1)

as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sum of n i.i.d. copies of X.

We will in the sequel always use the notation  $S_n$  in the sense above (as we already have done in Section 3). All unspecified limits are as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . A (non-degenerate) random variable X belongs to the domain of attraction of an  $\alpha$ -stable distribution if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(i) the truncated moment function

$$\mu(x) := \mathbb{E}\left(X^2 \mathbf{1}\{|X| \le x\}\right) \tag{5.2}$$

varies regularly with exponent  $2 - \alpha$  as  $x \to \infty$ , i.e.,

$$\mu(x) \sim x^{2-\alpha} L_1(x), \tag{5.3}$$

where  $L_1(x)$  varies slowly;

(ii) either  $\alpha = 2$ , or the tails of X are balanced:

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X > x)}{\mathbb{P}(|X| > x)} \to p_+, \qquad x \to \infty, \tag{5.4}$$

for some  $p_+ \in [0, 1]$ .

Proof. Feller [3, Theorem XVII.5.2].

For the case  $\alpha < 2$ , the following version is often more convenient.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . A random variable X belongs to the domain of attraction of an  $\alpha$ -stable distribution if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(i) the tail probability  $\mathbb{P}(|X| > x)$  varies regularly with exponent  $-\alpha$  as  $x \to \infty$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > x) \sim x^{-\alpha} L_2(x), \tag{5.5}$$

where  $L_2(x)$  varies slowly;

(ii) the tails of X are balanced:

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X > x)}{\mathbb{P}(|X| > x)} \to p_+, \qquad x \to \infty, \tag{5.6}$$

for some  $p_+ \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Feller [3, Corollary XVII.5.2].

We turn to identifying the stable limit distributions in Theorems 5.2–5.3 explicitly.

5.1. The case  $\alpha < 2$ . If the conditions of Theorem 5.2 or 5.3 hold for some  $\alpha < 2$ , then the conditions of the other hold too, and we have, by [3, (5.16)],

$$L_2(x) \sim \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha} L_1(x), \qquad x \to \infty.$$
 (5.7)

Furthermore, by [3, (5.6)], with  $a_n, b_n$  as in (5.1) and M and  $\Lambda$  the canonical measure and Lévy measure of the limit distribution  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$n \mathbb{P}(X > a_n x) \to \Lambda(x, \infty) = \int_x^\infty y^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}M(y), \qquad x > 0, \qquad (5.8)$$

and, by symmetry,

$$n \mathbb{P}(X < -a_n x) \to \Lambda(-\infty, -x) = \int_{-\infty}^x y^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}M(y), \qquad x > 0.$$
 (5.9)

In particular,

$$n \mathbb{P}(|X| > a_n) \to \Lambda\{y : |y| > 1\} \in (0, \infty);$$
 (5.10)

conversely, we may in (5.1) choose any sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $n \mathbb{P}(|X| > a_n)$ converges to a positive, finite limit. (Any two such sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(a'_n)$ must satisfy  $a_n/a'_n \to c$  for some  $c \in (0, \infty)$ , as a consequence of (5.5).) If

$$n \mathbb{P}(|X| > a_n) \to C > 0 \tag{5.11}$$

and (5.5)–(5.6) hold, then (5.8)–(5.9) hold with  $\Lambda(x,\infty) = p_+Cx^{-\alpha}$  and  $\Lambda(-\infty, -x) = p_-Cx^{-\alpha}$ , where  $p_- := 1 - p_+$ . Hence, (3.2)–(3.3) hold with

$$c_{+} = p_{+}C\alpha,$$
  $c_{-} = p_{-}C\alpha.$  (5.12)

Consequently, the limit distribution is given by (3.6) where, by (3.11)-(3.12),

$$\gamma = \left(C\alpha \left(-\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right)^{1/\alpha} = \left(C\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/\alpha},\tag{5.13}$$

$$\beta = p_{+} - p_{-}. \tag{5.14}$$

For  $\alpha = 1$  we interpret (5.13) by continuity as

$$\gamma = C\frac{\pi}{2}.\tag{5.15}$$

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . Suppose that (5.5)-(5.6) hold and that  $a_n$  are chosen such that (5.11) holds, for some C. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  be defined by (5.13)-(5.14).

(i) If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$\frac{S_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_\alpha(\gamma, \beta, 0). \tag{5.16}$$

(ii) If  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , then

$$\frac{S_n - n \mathbb{E} X}{a_n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_\alpha(\gamma, \beta, 0).$$
(5.17)

(iii) If  $\alpha = 1$ , then

$$\frac{S_n - nb_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_1(\gamma, \beta, 0), \tag{5.18}$$

where  $\gamma$  is given by (5.15) and

$$b_n := a_n \mathbb{E} \sin(X/a_n). \tag{5.19}$$

*Proof.* Feller [3, Theorem XVII.5.3] together with the calculations above.  $\Box$ 

**Example 5.5.** Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and that X is a random variable such that, as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim Cx^{-\alpha},\tag{5.20}$$

with C > 0, and  $\mathbb{P}(X < -x) = o(x^{-\alpha})$ . Then (5.5)–(5.6) hold with  $L_2(x) := C$  and  $p_+ = 1$ , and thus  $p_- := 1 - p_+ = 0$ . We take  $a_n := n^{1/\alpha}$ ; then (5.11) holds, and thus (3.2)–(3.3) hold with

$$c_{+} = C\alpha,$$
  $c_{-} = 0;$  (5.21)

hence, (5.13)-(5.14) yield

$$\gamma = \left(C\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/\alpha},\tag{5.22}$$

and  $\beta = 1$ . Consequently, Theorem 5.4 yields the following.

(i) If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$\frac{S_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_\alpha(\gamma, 1, 0). \tag{5.23}$$

The limit variable Y is positive and has by Theorem 3.12 and (5.22) the Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-tY} = \exp(-C\Gamma(1-\alpha)t^{\alpha}), \qquad \operatorname{Re} t \ge 0.$$
(5.24)

(ii) If  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , then

$$\frac{S_n - n \mathbb{E}X}{n^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_{\alpha}(\gamma, 1, 0).$$
(5.25)

The limit variable Y has by Theorem 3.12 and (5.22) the finite Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-tY} = \exp(C|\Gamma(1-\alpha)|t^{\alpha}), \qquad \operatorname{Re} t \ge 0.$$
(5.26)

By (4.6) and (5.22), the density function  $f_Y$  of the limit variable satisfies

$$f(0) = C^{-1/\alpha} |\Gamma(1-\alpha)|^{-1/\alpha} |\Gamma(-1/\alpha)|^{-1}.$$
 (5.27)

(iii) If  $\alpha = 1$ , then

$$\frac{S_n - nb_n}{n} = \frac{S_n}{n} - b_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_1(\gamma, 1, 0), \qquad (5.28)$$

where, by (5.15),  $\gamma = C\pi/2$  and

$$b_n := n \mathbb{E} \sin(X/n). \tag{5.29}$$

We return to the evaluation of  $b_n$  in Section 5.2.

**Example 5.6.** Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and that  $X \ge 0$  is an integer-valued random variable such that, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X=n) \sim cn^{-\alpha-1}.$$
(5.30)

Then (5.20) holds with

$$C = c/\alpha \tag{5.31}$$

and the results of Example 5.5 hold, with this C. In particular, (5.22) yields

$$\gamma^{\alpha} = -c\,\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2},\tag{5.32}$$

and both (5.24) and (5.26) can be written

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-tY} = \exp(c \Gamma(-\alpha)t^{\alpha}), \qquad \operatorname{Re} t \ge 0; \tag{5.33}$$

note that  $\Gamma(-\alpha) < 0$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  but  $\Gamma(-\alpha) > 0$  for  $1 < \alpha < 2$ .

Taking t imaginary in (5.33), we find the characteristic function

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\mathrm{i}tY} = \exp\left(c\,\Gamma(-\alpha)(-\mathrm{i}t)^{\alpha}\right) = \exp\left(c\,\Gamma(-\alpha)e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)\pi\alpha/2}|t|^{\alpha}\right), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(5.34)

5.2. The special case  $\alpha = 1$ . Suppose that, as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim Cx^{-1} \tag{5.35}$$

and  $\mathbb{P}(X < -x) = o(x^{-1})$ , with C > 0. Then Example 5.5 applies, and (5.28)–(5.29) hold. We calculate the normalising quantity  $b_n$  in (5.28) for some examples.

**Example 5.7.** Let X := 1/U, where  $U \sim U(0, 1)$  has a uniform distribution. Then  $\mathbb{P}(X > x) = x^{-1}$  for  $x \ge 1$  so (5.35) holds with C = 1 and (5.15) yields  $\gamma = \pi/2$ . Furthermore, X has a Pareto distribution with the density

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-2}, & x > 1, \\ 0, & x \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(5.36)

Consequently, by (5.29),

$$b_n = n \sin(X/n) = n \int_1^\infty \sin(x/n) x^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{1/n}^\infty \sin(y) y^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}y$$
  
=  $\log n + \int_{1/n}^1 \frac{\sin y - y}{y^2} \, \mathrm{d}y + \int_1^\infty \frac{\sin y}{y^2} \, \mathrm{d}y$   
=  $\log n + \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin y - y \mathbf{1}\{y < 1\}}{y^2} \, \mathrm{d}y + o(1) = \log n + 1 - \bar{\gamma} + o(1),$ 

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is Euler's gamma. (For the standard evaluation of the last integral, see e.g. [7].) Hence, (5.28) yields

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \left(\log n + 1 - \bar{\gamma}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_1(\pi/2, 1, 0).$$
(5.37)

or

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_1(\pi/2, 1, 1 - \bar{\gamma}).$$
(5.38)

**Example 5.8.** Let X := 1/Y, where  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(1)$  has an exponential distribution. Then  $\mathbb{P}(X > x) = 1 - \exp(-1/x) \sim x^{-1}$  as  $x \to \infty$  so C = 1 and (5.15) yields  $\gamma = \pi/2$ . In this case we do not calculate  $b_n$  directly from (5.29). Instead we define  $U := 1 - e^{-Y}$  and X' := 1/U and note that U has a uniform distribution on [0, 1] as in Example 5.7; furthermore

$$X' - X = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-Y}} - \frac{1}{Y} = \frac{e^{-Y} - 1 + Y}{(1 - e^{-Y})Y}.$$
(5.39)

This is a positive random variable with finite expectation

$$\mathbb{E}(X'-X) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-y} - 1 + y}{(1 - e^{-y})y} e^{-y} \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^{-y}}{1 - e^{-y}} - \frac{e^{-y}}{y}\right) \, \mathrm{d}y = \bar{\gamma},$$
(5.40)

see e.g. [2, 5.9.18] or [7].

Taking i.i.d. pairs  $(X_i, X'_i) \stackrel{d}{=} (X, X')$  we thus have, with  $S'_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X'_i$ , by the law of large numbers,

$$\frac{S'_n - S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} \mathbb{E}(X' - X) = \bar{\gamma}.$$
(5.41)

Since Example 5.7 shows that  $S'_n/n - \log n \xrightarrow{d} S_1(\pi/2, 1, 1 - \bar{\gamma})$ , it follows that

$$S_n/n - \log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} S_1(\pi/2, 1, 1 - 2\bar{\gamma}).$$
 (5.42)

We thus have (5.28) with

$$b_n = \log n + 1 - 2\bar{\gamma} + o(1). \tag{5.43}$$

5.3. The case  $\alpha = 2$ . If  $\alpha = 2$ , then  $a_n$  in (5.1) have to be chosen such that

$$\frac{n\mu(a_n)}{a_n^2} \to C \tag{5.44}$$

for some C > 0, see [3, (5.23)]; conversely any such sequence  $(a_n)$  will do.

**Theorem 5.9.** If  $\mu(x)$  is slowly varying with  $\mu(x) \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$  and (5.44) holds, then

$$\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E} S_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} N(0, C).$$
(5.45)

*Proof.* Feller [3, Theorem XVII.5.3].

**Example 5.10.** Suppose that  $\alpha = 2$  and that X is a random variable such that, as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim Cx^{-2}, \tag{5.46}$$

with C > 0, and  $\mathbb{P}(X < -x) = o(x^{-2})$ . Then (5.4) holds with  $p_+ = 1$ , and thus  $p_- := 1 - p_+ = 0$ . Furthermore, as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$\mu(x) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{|X|} 2t \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathbf{1}\{|X| \leq x\}\right) = \mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{1}\{t \leq |X| \leq x\} 2t \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{0}^{x} 2t \, \mathbb{P}(t \leq |X| \leq x) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{0}^{x} 2t \, \mathbb{P}(|X| > t) \, \mathrm{d}t - x^{2} \, \mathbb{P}(|X| > x)$$
$$= \left(1 + o(1)\right) \int_{1}^{x} 2t C t^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}t + O(1) \sim 2C \log x.$$
(5.47)

Thus (5.3) holds with  $L_1(x) = 2C \log x$ .

We take  $a_n := \sqrt{n \log n}$ . Then  $\mu(a_n) \sim 2C\frac{1}{2}\log n = C\log n$ , so (5.44) holds and Theorem 5.9 yields

$$\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E} S_n}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} N(0, C).$$
(5.48)

## 6. Attraction and characteristic functions

We study the relation between the attraction property (5.1) and the characteristic function  $\varphi_X(t)$  of X. For simplicity, we consider only the common case when  $a_n = n^{1/\alpha}$ . Moreover, for simplicity we state results for  $\varphi_X(t)$ , t > 0 only, recalling (3.15) and  $\varphi_X(0) = 1$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . The following are equivalent.

- (i)  $\frac{S_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \xrightarrow{d} Z$  for some non-degenerate random variable Z.
- (ii) The characteristic function  $\varphi_X$  of X satisfies

$$\varphi_X(t) = 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha} + o(t^{\alpha}) \qquad as \ t \searrow 0, \tag{6.1}$$

for some real  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\lambda$ . In this case, Z is strictly  $\alpha$ -stable and has the characteristic function (3.16). (Hence,  $|\lambda| \leq \kappa \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}$ .)

*Proof.* If (i) holds, then for every integer m,

$$\frac{S_{mn}}{(mn)^{1/\alpha}} = \frac{1}{m^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{(k-1)n+j} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{m^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^m Z_k, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

with  $Z_k \stackrel{d}{=} Z$  i.i.d. Since also  $(mn)^{-1/\alpha} S_{mn} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} Z$ , we have  $m^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^m Z_k \stackrel{d}{=} Z$ , and thus Z is strictly  $\alpha$ -stable.

We use Corollary 3.8 and suppose that Z has characteristic function (3.16). Then the continuity theorem yields

$$\varphi_X(t/n^{1/\alpha})^n \to \varphi_Z(t) = \exp\left(-(\kappa - i\lambda)t^\alpha\right), \quad t \ge 0;$$
 (6.2)

moreover, this holds uniformly for, e.g.,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

In some neighbourhood  $(-t_0, t_0)$  of  $0, \varphi_X \neq 0$  and thus  $\varphi_X(t) = e^{\psi(t)}$  for some continuous function  $\psi : (-t_0, t_0) \to \mathbb{C}$  with  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Hence, (6.2) yields (for  $n > 1/t_0$ )

$$\exp\left(n\psi\left(\frac{t}{n^{1/\alpha}}\right) + (\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha}\right) = 1 + o(1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , which implies

$$n\psi\left(\frac{t}{n^{1/\alpha}}\right) + (\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha} = o(1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

since the left-hand side is continuous and 0 for t = 0, and thus

$$\psi\left(\frac{t}{n^{1/\alpha}}\right) + (\kappa - i\lambda)\frac{t^{\alpha}}{n} = o(1/n), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \quad (6.3)$$

uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

For s > 0, define  $n := \lfloor s^{-\alpha} \rfloor$  and  $t := sn^{1/\alpha} \in (0,1]$ . As  $s \searrow 0$ , we have  $n \to \infty$  and (6.3) yields

$$\psi(s) = -(\kappa - i\lambda)s^{\alpha} + o(1/n) = -(\kappa - i\lambda)s^{\alpha} + o(s^{\alpha}).$$
(6.4)

Consequently, as  $s \searrow 0$ ,

$$\varphi_X(s) = e^{\psi(s)} = 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)s^\alpha + o(s^\alpha), \tag{6.5}$$

so (6.1) holds.

Conversely, if (6.1) holds, then, for t > 0,

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\mathrm{i}tS_n/n^{1/\alpha}} = \varphi_X \left( t/n^{1/\alpha} \right)^n = \left( 1 - (\kappa - \mathrm{i}\lambda + o(1)) \frac{t^\alpha}{n} \right)^n \to \exp\left( -(\kappa - \mathrm{i}\lambda)t^\alpha \right).$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , and thus by the continuity theorem  $S_n/n^{1/\alpha} \xrightarrow{d} Z$ , where Z has the characteristic function (3.16).  $\square$ 

For  $\alpha = 1$ , it is not always possible to reduce to the case when  $b_n = 0$  in (5.1) and the limit is strictly stable. The most common case is covered by the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** The following are equivalent, for any real b.

(i)  $\frac{S_n}{n} - b \log n \xrightarrow{d} Z$  for some non-degenerate random variable Z. (ii) The characteristic function  $\varphi_X$  of X satisfies

$$\varphi_X(t) = 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t - ibt\log t + o(t) \qquad as \ t \searrow 0, \tag{6.6}$$

for some real  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\lambda$ . In this case, Z is 1-stable and has the characteristic function (3.23). (Hence,  $|b| \leq 2\kappa/\pi$ .)

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i). If (6.6) holds, for any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , then, as  $t \searrow 0$ ,

$$\log \varphi_X(t) = -(\kappa - i\lambda + o(1))t - ibt \log t \tag{6.7}$$

and thus, as  $n \to \infty$ , for every fixed t > 0,

$$\mathbb{E} e^{it(S_n/n - b\log n)} = \varphi_X(t/n)^n e^{-ibt\log n}$$
  
=  $\exp\left(n\left(-(\kappa - i\lambda + o(1))\frac{t}{n} - ib\frac{t}{n}\log\frac{t}{n}\right) - ibt\log n\right)$   
 $\rightarrow \exp\left(-(\kappa - i\lambda)t - ibt\log t\right)$ 

which shows (i), where Z has the characteristic function (3.23).

Furthermore, for use below, note that (3.23) implies  $|\varphi_Z(t)| = e^{-\kappa t}$  for t > 0. Since  $|\varphi_Z(t)| \leq 1$ , this shows that  $\kappa \geq 0$ . Moreover, if  $\kappa = 0$ , then  $|\varphi_Z(t)| = 1$  for t > 0, and thus for all t, which implies that Z = c a.s. for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , so Z is degenerate and b = 0. Hence, (6.6) implies  $\kappa \geq 0$ , and  $\kappa = 0$  is possible only when b = 0 and  $S_n/n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} \lambda$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (ii). Let  $\gamma_1 := |b|\pi/2$  and  $\beta_1 := -\operatorname{sgn} b$ . Let Y and  $Y_i$  be i.i.d., and independent of  $(X_j)_1^{\infty}$  and Z, with distribution  $S_1(\gamma_1, \beta_1, 0)$ . (If b = 0 we simply take  $Y_i := 0$ .) Then  $Y_i$  has, by (3.6), the characteristic function

$$\varphi_Y(t) = \exp(-\gamma_1 t + ibt \log t), \qquad t > 0. \tag{6.8}$$

By Theorem 3.3(ii),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} nY - bn \log n. \tag{6.9}$$

Define  $\widetilde{X}_i := X_i + Y_i$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\widetilde{X}_{i} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i} + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{i} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{S_{n}}{n} + Y - b\log n \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\longrightarrow} Z + Y.$$
(6.10)

Thus, by Theorem 6.1, for some  $\kappa_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda_2$ ,

$$\varphi_X(t)\varphi_Y(t) = \mathbb{E}\,e^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{X}_i} = 1 - (\kappa_2 - \mathrm{i}\lambda_2)t + o(t) \qquad \text{as } t \searrow 0, \qquad (6.11)$$

and hence, using (6.8),

$$\varphi_X(t) = \mathbb{E} e^{it\widetilde{X}_i} / \varphi_Y(t) = 1 - (\kappa_2 - i\lambda_2 - \gamma_1)t - ibt\log t + o(t), \quad (6.12)$$

which shows (6.6), with  $\kappa = \kappa_2 - \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Finally, we have shown in the first part of the proof that (6.6) implies  $\kappa > 0$ , because Z is non-degenerate.

We can use these theorems to show the following.

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . Suppose that X is such that

$$n^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} Z,$$
 (6.13)

where Z is an  $\alpha$ -stable random variable with characteristic function (3.16) and that  $Y \ge 0$  is a random variable with  $\mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha} < \infty$ . Let  $(Y_i)_1^{\infty}$  be independent copies of Y that are independent of  $(X_i)_1^{\infty}$ . Then

$$n^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} Z' := \left(\mathbb{E} Y^\alpha\right)^{1/\alpha} Z, \qquad (6.14)$$

where the limit Z' has the characteristic function

$$\varphi_{Z'}(t) = \exp\left(-(\mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha} \kappa - i \mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha} \lambda) t^{\alpha}\right), \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(6.15)

If  $Z \sim S_{\alpha}(\gamma, \beta, 0)$  (where  $\beta = 0$  if  $\alpha = 1$ ), then  $Z' \sim S_{\alpha}((\mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}\gamma, \beta, 0)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.1, for  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$\varphi_X(t) = 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha} + t^{\alpha}r(t), \qquad (6.16)$$

where  $r(t) \to 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$ . Furthermore, (6.16) implies that r(t) = O(1) as  $t \to \infty$ , and thus r(t) = O(1) for  $t \ge 0$ .

Consequently, for t > 0, assuming as we may that Y is independent of X,

$$\varphi_{XY}(t) = \mathbb{E} e^{itXY} = \mathbb{E} \varphi_X(tY) = \mathbb{E} \left( 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha}Y^{\alpha} + t^{\alpha}Y^{\alpha}r(tY) \right)$$
$$= 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha}\mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha} + t^{\alpha}\mathbb{E} \left( Y^{\alpha}r(tY) \right), \tag{6.17}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}(Y^{\alpha}r(tY)) \to 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$  by dominated convergence; hence

$$\varphi_{XY}(t) = 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t^{\alpha} \mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha} + o(t^{\alpha}) \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0.$$
 (6.18)

Theorem 6.1 applies and shows that  $n^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i \xrightarrow{d} Z'$ , where Z' has the characteristic function (6.15). Moreover, by (3.16),  $(\mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$  has this characteristic function, so we may take  $Z' := (\mathbb{E} Y^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$ .

The final claim follows by Remark 3.6.

**Theorem 6.4.** Suppose that X is such that, for some real b,

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - b \log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} Z, \qquad (6.19)$$

where Z is a 1-stable random variable, and that  $Y \ge 0$  is a random variable with  $\mathbb{E} Y \log Y < \infty$ . Let  $(Y_i)_1^{\infty}$  be independent copies of Y that are independent of  $(X_i)_1^{\infty}$ . Then, with  $\mu := \mathbb{E} Y$ ,

$$n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i - b\mu \log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} Z' := \mu Z - b\big(\mathbb{E}(Y\log Y) - \mu \log \mu\big).$$
(6.20)

Z has the characteristic function (3.23) for some  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ , and then the limit Z' has the characteristic function, with  $\nu := \mathbb{E}(Y \log Y)$ ,

$$\varphi_{Z'}(t) = \exp\left(-\left(\mu\kappa + \mathrm{i}(b\nu - \mu\lambda)t\right) - \mathrm{i}b\mu t \log t\right), \qquad t > 0. \tag{6.21}$$

If  $Z \sim S_1(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$ , then  $Z' \sim S_1(\mu\gamma, \beta, \mu\delta - b\nu)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.2, for  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$\varphi_X(t) = 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t - ibt\log t + tr(t), \qquad (6.22)$$

where  $r(t) \to 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$ ; moreover Z has the characteristic function (3.23). Furthermore, (6.22) implies that  $r(t) = O(\log t)$  as  $t \to \infty$ , and thus  $r(t) = O(1 + \log_+ t)$  for  $t \ge 0$ .

Consequently, for t > 0, assuming as we may that Y is independent of X,  $\varphi_{XY}(t) = \mathbb{E} \varphi_X(tY)$ 

$$= 1 - (\kappa - i\lambda)t \mathbb{E}Y - ibt \mathbb{E}(Y\log(tY)) + t\mathbb{E}(Yr(tY)),$$
  
$$= 1 - (\mu\kappa - i\mu\lambda + ib\mathbb{E}(Y\log Y))t - ib\mu t\log t + t\mathbb{E}(Yr(tY)),$$

where  $\mathbb{E}(Yr(tY)) \to 0$  as  $t \searrow 0$  by dominated convergence; hence

$$\varphi_{XY}(t) = 1 - (\mu \kappa - i\mu\lambda + ib\nu)t - ib\mu t\log t + o(t) \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0. \quad (6.23)$$

Theorem 6.2 applies and shows that  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i - b\mu \log n \xrightarrow{d} Z'$ , where Z' has the characteristic function (6.21). Moreover, it follows easily from (3.23) that  $\mu Z - b(\mathbb{E}(Y \log Y) - \mu \log \mu)$  has this characteristic function, and thus (6.20) follows.

Finally, if  $Z \sim S_1(\gamma, \beta, \delta)$ , then  $b = \frac{2}{\pi}\beta\gamma$  by Remark 3.10 and it follows easily from Remark 3.6 that  $Z' \sim S_1(\mu\gamma, \beta, \mu\delta - b\nu)$ ; alternatively, it follows directly from (6.20) and (3.6) that Z' has the characteristic function

$$\varphi_{Z'}(t) = \varphi_Z(\mu t) \exp\left(-ibt(\nu - \mu \log \mu)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\gamma \mu |t| \left(1 + i\beta \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(t) \log |t|\right) + i\delta \mu t - ibt\nu\right).$$
(6.24)

**Example 6.5.** Let X := U/U', where  $U, U' \sim U(0, 1)$  are independent. By Example 5.7 and Theorem 6.4, with  $Z \sim S_1(\pi/2, 1, 1-\bar{\gamma}), b = 1, \mu := \mathbb{E}U = 1/2$  and

$$\nu := \mathbb{E} U \log U = \int_0^1 x \log x \, \mathrm{d}x = -\frac{1}{4}, \tag{6.25}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \frac{1}{2}Z - \nu + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}Z + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\log 2 \sim \mathrm{S}_1\left(\frac{\pi}{4}, 1, \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}\right).$$
(6.26)

**Example 6.6.** Let X := Y/Y' where  $Y, Y' \sim \text{Exp}(1)$  are independent. (Thus X has the F-distribution  $F_{2,2}$ .) By Example 5.8 and Theorem 6.4, with  $Z \sim S_1(\pi/2, 1, 1 - 2\bar{\gamma}), b = 1, \mu := \mathbb{E}Y = 1$  and

$$\nu := \mathbb{E} Y \log Y = \int_0^\infty x \log x \, e^{-x} \, \mathrm{d}x = \Gamma'(2) = 1 - \bar{\gamma}, \tag{6.27}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \log n \xrightarrow{d} Z - \nu = Z - 1 + \bar{\gamma} \sim S_1(\pi/2, 1, -\bar{\gamma}).$$
(6.28)

This is in accordance with Example 5.7, since, as is well-known,  $U := Y'/(Y+Y') \sim U(0,1)$ , and thus we can write X = (Y+Y')/Y'-1 = 1/U-1.

**Example 6.7.** Let  $X := V^2/W$  where  $V \sim U(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $W \sim Exp(1)$  are independent. By Example 5.8 and Theorem 6.4, with  $Z \sim S_1(\pi/2, 1, 1-2\bar{\gamma})$ ,  $b = 1, \mu := \mathbb{E} V^2 = 1/12$  and

$$\nu := 2 \mathbb{E} V^2 \log |V| = 4 \int_0^{1/2} x^2 \log x \, \mathrm{d}x = 4 \left[ \frac{x^3}{3} \log x - \frac{x^3}{9} \right]_0^{1/2}$$
$$= -\frac{3 \log 2 + 1}{18}, \tag{6.29}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{1}{12} \log n \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{12} Z - \nu + \frac{1}{12} \log \frac{1}{12} \sim S_1\left(\frac{\pi}{24}, 1, \frac{5 - 6\bar{\gamma} + 6\log 2}{36}\right). \quad (6.30)$$

Equivalently, using Remark 3.6,

$$\frac{24S_n}{\pi n} - \frac{2}{\pi} \log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \frac{2}{\pi} Z - \frac{24\nu}{\pi} - \frac{2}{\pi} \log 12 \sim \mathrm{S}_1 \Big( 1, 1, \frac{2}{\pi} \Big( \frac{5}{3} - 2\bar{\gamma} + \log \frac{\pi}{6} \Big) \Big).$$
(6.31)

This is shown directly in Heinrich, Pukelsheim and Schwingenschlögl [5, Theorem 5.2 and its proof].

**Example 6.8.** More generally, let  $X := V^2/W$  where  $V \sim U(q-1,1)$  and  $W \sim \text{Exp}(1)$  are independent, for some fixed real q. By Example 5.8 and Theorem 6.4, with  $Z \sim S_1(\pi/2, 1, 1 - 2\bar{\gamma}), b = 1$ ,

$$\mu = \mathbb{E} V^2 = \left(\mathbb{E} V\right)^2 + \operatorname{Var} V = \left(q - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{3q^2 - 3q + 1}{3}$$
(6.32)

and

$$\nu := 2 \mathbb{E} V^2 \log |V| = 2 \int_{q-1}^q x^2 \log |x| \, \mathrm{d}x = 2 \left[ \frac{x^3}{3} \log |x| - \frac{x^3}{9} \right]_{q-1}^q$$
$$= 2 \frac{q^3 \log |q| + (1-q)^3 \log |1-q|}{3} - 2 \frac{3q^2 - 3q + 1}{9}, \tag{6.33}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mu Z - \nu + \mu \log \mu \sim S_1 \left( \mu \frac{\pi}{2}, 1, (1 - 2\bar{\gamma})\mu - \nu \right).$$
(6.34)

Equivalently, using Remark 3.6,

$$\frac{S_n - n(\mathbb{E}V)^2}{\mu n} - \log n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} Z - \frac{\nu}{\mu} + \log \mu - \frac{(\mathbb{E}V)^2}{\mu} \sim \mathrm{S}_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 1, b_q\right), \quad (6.35)$$

with

$$b_q := \frac{2}{3} - 2\bar{\gamma} - 2\frac{q^3 \log|q| + (1-q)^3 \log|1-q|}{3q^2 - 3q + 1} + \log\frac{3q^2 - 3q + 1}{3} + \frac{1}{12\mu}.$$
(6.36)

This is shown (in the case  $0 \leq q \leq 1$ ) directly in Heinrich, Pukelsheim and Schwingenschlögl [6, Theorem 4.2 and its proof].

#### SVANTE JANSON

#### References

- J. Bertoin, Lévy Processes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [2] Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. Version 1.0.3; 2011-08-29. National Institute of Standards and Technology. http://dlmf.nist.gov/
- [3] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Volume II, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [4] A. Gut, Probability: A Graduate Course. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [5] L. Heinrich, F. Pukelsheim & U. Schwingenschlögl, Sainte-Laguë's chisquare divergence for the rounding of probabilities and its convergence to a stable law. *Statistics & Decisions* **22** (2004), 43–59.
- [6] L. Heinrich, F. Pukelsheim & U. Schwingenschlögl, On stationary multiplier methods for the rounding of probabilities and the limiting law of the Sainte-Laguë divergence. *Statistics & Decisions* 23 (2005), 117–129.
- [7] S. Janson, Some integrals related to the Gamma integral. Notes, 2011. http://www.math.uu.se/~svante/papers/#N11
- [8] S. Janson, Simply generated trees, conditioned Galton-Watson trees, random allocations and condensation. Preprint, 2011. http://www. math.uu.se/~svante/papers/#264
- [9] O. Kallenberg, Foundations of Modern Probability. 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2002.
- [10] J. Marcinkiewicz, Sur les fonctions indépendants III. Fund. Math. 31 (1938), 86–102.
- [11] J. P. Nolan, Stable Distributions Models for Heavy Tailed Data. Book manuscript (in progress); Chapter 1 online at academic2.american.edu/~jpnolan.
- [12] G. Samorodnitsky & M. S. Taqqu, Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes. Chapman & Hall, New York, 1994.
- [13] V. M. Zolotarev, One-dimensional Stable Distributions. Nauka, Moscow, 1983. (Russian.) English transl.: American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.

Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, PO Box 480, SE-751 06 Uppsala, Sweden

*E-mail address*: svante.janson@math.uu.se *URL*: http://www2.math.uu.se/~svante/